

Word count: 12,490
 Characters in Title: 113

***THE USE OF MRI AND PET FOR
 CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS OF DEMENTIA
 &
 INVESTIGATION OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT
 A CONSENSUS REPORT***

***Prepared by
 Neuroimaging Work Group^[1]
 Of The
 Alzheimer's Association***

Marilyn Albert, Ph.D.^a, Charles DeCarli, M.D.^b, Steven DeKosky, M.D.^c, Mony de Leon Ed.D.^d, Norman L. Foster, M.D.^e, Nick Fox, M.D.^f, Richard Frank, M.D., Ph.D.^g, Richard Frackowiak, Ph.D.^e, Clifford Jack, M.D.^h, William J. Jagust, M.D.^{b,i}, David Knopman, M.D.^h, John C. Morris, M.D.^j, Ronald C. Petersen, Ph.D.^h, M.D., Eric Reiman, M.D.^k, Philip Scheltens, M.D., Ph.D.^l, Gary Small, M.D.^m, Hilkka Soininen, M.D.ⁿ, Ph.D., Leon Thal, M.D.^o, Lars-Olof Wahlund, Ph.D.^p, William Thies, Ph.D.^q, Michael W. Weiner, M.D.^{r,s}, (Chair) & Zaven Khachaturian, Ph.D. (Secretariat)^t.

- a. Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Baltimore, MD USA
- b. University of California, Davis, School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, Sacramento, CA USA
- c. University of Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, School of Medicine Department of Neurology, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- d. New York University, School of Medicine, New York, NY USA
- e. University of Michigan Medical Center, Department of Neurology, Ann Arbor, MI USA
- f. University of London, Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK
- g. GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI USA
- h. Mayo Clinic, Department of Neurology, Rochester, Minnesota, USA
- i. University of California, Berkeley, Department of Nuclear Medicine and Functional Imaging, Berkeley, CA USA
- j. Washington University, St. Louis, School of Medicine, Department of Neurology, St. Louis, MO USA
- k. University of Arizona (Good Samaritan) Banner Health, Behavioral Health Center, Phoenix, AZ USA
- l. VU Medisch Centrum (Medical Center), Neurology and Alzheimers Center, HV Amsterdam
- m. University of California, Los Angeles, Neurophysiatric Institute, Los Angeles, CA USA
- n. Kuopio University Hospital, Department of Neurology, Kuopio, Finland
- o. University of California, San Diego, Department of Neurosciences, La Jolla, CA USA
- p. Karolinska Institutet, Huddinge University Hospital, Huddinge, Sweden
- q. Alzheimer's Association, Chicago, IL USA
- r. University of California, San Francisco, Department of Radiology, San Francisco, CA USA
- s. San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Department of Radiology, San Francisco, CA USA
- t. Khachaturian, Radebaugh & Associates, Inc., Potomac, MD USA

^[1] *The Neuroimaging Work Group (NWG) of the Alzheimer's Association was convened to address the clinical application of brain imaging for the detection and diagnosis of cognitive impairment leading to dementia. The intent of this document is to: 1) review current evidence in support of brain imaging in the detection and diagnosis of dementia, 2) suggest guidelines for the use of imaging in the clinical assessment of dementia and, 3) stimulate further systematic multi-site research to validate the use of these methods in the early diagnosis and treatment of AD.*

Corresponding Author:
Michael W. Weiner, M.D.
Director, Magnetic Resonance Unit
Professor of Medicine, Radiology, Psychiatry, and Neurology
University of California, San Francisco
VA Medical Center (114M)
4150 Clement St.
San Francisco, Ca. 94121 USA
Tel: (415) 221-4810 extension 3642
FAX (415) 668-2864

I. INTRODUCTION^[1]

Brain imaging in dementing illness has undergone revolutionary changes in the past 25 years, with the wide availability of an unprecedented array of new techniques. The Neuroimaging Work Group Report presents this overview of the current state of the field, and future directions.

This introduction, from a clinician's perspective, provides a context for assessing current neuroimaging technologies as well as expected advances in: 1) diagnosis of incipient cases, 2) prediction of incident cases, and 3) outcome measures in therapeutic trials.

Presently, neuroimaging cannot tell us whether or not a person has a cognitive disorder—that is a clinical question. However, neuroimaging with computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) plays a key role in ruling out structural lesions of the brain in individuals with dementia. Either modality is excellent at detecting brain tumors, abscesses, strokes, and hematomas. Although mass lesions are uncommon, the discovery of such a finding dictates the need for an imaging study in the initial evaluation of every dementia patient⁽¹⁾.

Once the presence of dementia has been established, the role of imaging in the diagnosis of dementia subtypes is very much a function of the clinical diagnosis. The accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is quite good. Pathological AD has a prevalence of about 70% (range 50% to above 80% depending upon whether the AD occurs in isolation or with other entities) among all dementias (see evidence Table 1 in reference⁽¹⁾); thus, even clinicians with limited neurological expertise should have a diagnostic accuracy, for AD at least, at about that level. A review of 13 published studies gave average values for sensitivity and specificity of the clinical diagnosis of AD of 81% and 70%, respectively⁽¹⁾. The overall accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of AD versus not-AD compared with the neuropathological standard based on those values for prevalence, sensitivity, and specificity, is 78%. For imaging to make a useful contribution to the diagnosis of AD, the sensitivity and specificity of imaging compared with neuropathological diagnoses must substantially exceed the clinical standard. Very few studies have addressed the accuracy of imaging studies as compared with pathological diagnoses. A clinical-pathological study of patients who had undergone Positron Emission Tomography (PET) studies showed the sensitivity of PET to be 94% with a specificity of 73% for the diagnosis of AD⁽²⁾, which would yield an overall accuracy of 89%. However, the highly selective nature of the cohort makes generalization from this data risky. Comparable estimates for MR diagnoses of AD versus neuropathological diagnoses are not available, but it is clear that MR hippocampal atrophy has only modest specificity for AD, as hippocampal atrophy is seen in hippocampal sclerosis and frontotemporal dementia⁽³⁾. Since clinical diagnosis achieves relatively high levels of accuracy, PET and MR, as currently performed, offer only relatively modest incremental benefits for the diagnosis of AD.

On the other hand, neuroimaging contributes to the diagnostic certainty of the frontotemporal dementias and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease⁽⁴⁻⁷⁾, and the diagnosis of vascular dementia requires imaging confirmation as well^(2, 8). When these diagnoses are being considered in a particular individual, a clinician should have the ready opportunity to order MRI, PET, or Single Photon Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT). It may never be possible to quantify the added value of certainty in dementia diagnoses. Yet, ignoring existing resources that permit confident diagnoses, given their importance for physicians, patients, and families, is shortsighted.

^[1] Introduction prepared by David Knopman

In contrast to their accuracy in diagnosing prevalent dementia, current clinical techniques are poor at predicting which non-demented individuals will develop AD or other dementias in the future. Neuroimaging holds the promise of making an important and unique contribution to identifying individuals at higher risk for future dementia. Work demonstrating the potential of MRI has already begun to appear. Several groups have shown that hippocampal or entorhinal atrophy is associated with an increased likelihood of subsequent dementia due to AD⁽⁹⁻¹⁴⁾. PET imaging changes may also have predictive value⁽¹⁵⁾. While the practical value of such observations is limited by our lack of preventive therapies for AD, neuroimaging is poised to play a large role in future efforts at preventing dementia, as more effective preventive strategies emerge.

Perhaps the greatest value of neuroimaging will come in therapeutic trials. Current clinical trial methodology depends upon clinical assessments of cognition and behavior, which, while directly reflective of real-life phenomena, are inherently variable from day to day and examiner to examiner. Because of its precision, volumetric MRI could become the principal outcome measure for clinical trials in AD in the near future. Results of a recent study of MRI volumetric versus cognitive testing demonstrate this point clearly⁽¹⁶⁾. Clinical trials in vascular dementia and frontotemporal dementia will also come to rely on MRI.

The technologies of MRI and PET have advanced rapidly. Development of novel imaging sequences or “contrast” agents that are sensitive to imaging of amyloid-beta peptide or tau pathology could change the landscape for diagnosis of prevalent cases by imaging. Specific molecular markers for MRI or PET imaging would be invaluable for predicting incident cases, and in therapeutic trials. Ongoing collaboration between clinicians and neuroimaging practitioners is the key to moving closer to our ultimate goal in dementia research: to reduce the burden of dementia on individuals and on society.

II. THE ROLE OF MRI AND CT IN THE CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT AND DEMENTIA^[2]

Abstract and Recommendations:

Current American Academy of Neurology (AAN) guidelines for dementia diagnosis⁽¹⁷⁾ recommend imaging to identify structural brain diseases that can cause cognitive impairment. Accruing scientific evidence supports the use of imaging for the detection of early AD in individuals who have mild cognitive impairment (MCI)^[3], especially of the amnesic type (which may represent a prodromal form of AD). However, more research is needed to establish the clinical value of imaging in MCI. While much of this scientific evidence comes from quantitative analysis of structural brain MRI, qualitative estimates of medial temporal atrophy are highly correlated with quantitative measures and are much more suitable for clinical diagnosis.

The clinical use of structural brain imaging confers substantial assistance in the early diagnosis of AD. In this regard, the following recommendations are offered:

- Follow the American Academy of Neurology guidelines⁽¹⁷⁾; obtain brain imaging as part of dementia evaluation when AD is suspected and expand the AAN guideline to include individuals who have amnesic MCI.
- The impact of extensive white matter disease or a single lacunar infarct outside the thalamus in the presence of MCI remains unclear. Further research in imaging of a

^[2] *MRI & CT Subcommittee Members:* Charles DeCarli, (*Chair*), Philip Scheltens, Norman L. Foster, Hilkka Soininen, Lars-Olof Wahlund, John C. Morris, William J. Jagust, Gary W. Small, Nick Fox, Ron Petersen, Michael W. Weiner (*Ex-Officio*) and Zaven Khachaturian (*Secretariat*).

^[3] Presently the construct of MCI is not a diagnosis; it has no code in either the ICD or DSM documents.

broad spectrum of individuals with MCI is needed to determine the exact utility of predicting a future “diagnosis of AD,” and the particular type of MCI that is likely to be “prodromal AD.”

- Coronal brain imaging, preferably perpendicular to the long axis of the hippocampus, should be included in routine MRI protocols.
- Standardize imaging parameters whenever possible; consistent use of a standard imaging protocol and method of interpretation will translate into clinically meaningful results. Individual sites may need to tailor exact sequences to the type of brain imaging machine available.
- The use of a widely adopted (standardized and well-validated) protocol is essential for the clinical interpretation of medial temporal atrophy on MRI. However, presently there is a paucity of such qualitative instruments. Two scales are in use^(12, 18), both of which have demonstrated: 1) inter and intra-rater reliability, 2) correlations with quantitative volumetric and neuropsychology, and 3) prospective predictive value. The Work Group recommends the development and/or validation of uniform methods to measure, describe, or interpret the clinical significance of structural changes observed in brain images.
- Prospective studies are needed to evaluate the utility of MRI for differential diagnosis and early detection. There is a potential role for MRI in identifying AD by proven associations with AD pathology; future research should focus on the use of qualitative interpretation of various types of anatomical brain images from disparate populations to assess more fully the true utility of this method for the early diagnosis of dementia.
- Use of CT imaging for early diagnosis of AD should be the focus of future research for those individuals who cannot receive MRI^{(17) (19, 20)}.

A. Rationale for Structural Imaging in the Early Detection of Dementia

The pathology of AD has a definite topographic distribution⁽²¹⁻²³⁾ in regions that can be well characterized by available neuroimaging methods⁽²⁴⁻²⁸⁾. Hippocampal atrophy is an early marker of AD^{(24, 25) (28, 29) (17, 30-32) (33)} that correlates with impairments in memory function⁽³⁴⁾. In addition, AD leads to progressive loss of brain volume throughout the cerebral cortex, and other areas, that is significantly greater in AD patients than age-matched controls,⁽³⁵⁻⁴⁰⁾ and that correlates with the rate of cognitive deterioration⁽⁴¹⁾. Neuropathological studies also show that the pathological features of AD may be present for years before clinical symptoms are evident,^(42, 43) and are often present in individuals with memory impairment who are not demented, suggesting that most individuals with MCI have early AD⁽⁴²⁾. Additionally, careful pathological studies find a close association between hippocampal size as imaged by MRI and the extent of AD pathology⁽⁴⁴⁾. Given that structural imaging is a relatively easy and noninvasive method to evaluate early AD, and is closely associated with the pathological features of the disease, a number of studies have evaluated the prognostic significance of finding hippocampal atrophy in high-risk populations.

B. The Prognostic Significance of Hippocampal Atrophy in MCI

Accumulating evidence from quantitative MRI studies suggests that hippocampal atrophy is present before dementia onset^(9, 14, 29, 45, 46) and progresses with conversion to clinically apparent AD⁽⁴⁷⁾. In a large prospective study of MCI patients, Jack et al.⁽⁹⁾, found a 4-fold increase in the percentage of individuals converting to dementia within five years when initial hippocampal size was two standard deviations below age- and gender-defined norms. Similar findings were noted in a second study, although memory scores

were also significant predictors⁽⁴⁵⁾. Another study using qualitative estimates of hippocampal size also found similar results⁽¹²⁾.

These findings support the utility of structural brain imaging in MCI to predict conversion to AD within five years. Importantly, future work from population-based studies will be helpful in clarifying the utility of structural imaging in the diagnosis of early AD for populations where clinical definitions of MCI are less predictive⁽⁴⁸⁾. The general use of structural imaging, however, requires a simple and convenient method by which clinicians can have reliable and accurate estimates of hippocampal size. Recent developments in qualitative measurements appear to meet this need.

C. Qualitative versus Quantitative Measures of Hippocampal Atrophy

Image quantification is an arduous task, currently requiring considerable processing time and operator attention. Thus, it is not applicable now to the clinical evaluation of the average patient with a memory disorder. A variety of qualitative scales exist^(14, 18, 49, 50), several of which have high intra-observer reliability and correlate with neuropsychological and volumetric measures.

D. CT versus MRI

MRI is generally regarded as a superior tool for brain imaging, as compared with CT, due to the absence of ionizing radiation, increased imaging flexibility, and better tissue contrast. Unfortunately, expense, patient claustrophobia, or the presence of metal implants or medical devices common in older individuals can limit the use of MRI. A variety of CT protocols have been developed to image medial temporal structures^(12, 19, 51, 52). Qualitative estimates of medial temporal atrophy on CT are associated with autopsy-proven AD^(52, 53) and correlate with similar MRI measures⁽⁵⁴⁾, suggesting the possibility for CT use in individuals who cannot receive MRI.

III. THE ROLE OF STRUCTURAL MRI IN CLINICAL TRIALS IN AD AND MCI^[4]

Abstract and Recommendations:

Structural MRI has been extensively used to characterize the changes in normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, AD, and other dementias. MRI measures of brain volume, especially medial temporal lobe structures, are expected to be useful surrogates for measuring treatments that slow progression of neurodegeneration in AD. This is because purely symptomatic therapy should not affect rates of atrophy, and because of the high statistical power of these measures. MR imaging should be used in clinical AD trials for characterizing structural brain changes in subjects and for ruling out other causes of dementia. It could also be used to monitor treatment effects over time, depending on the nature of the trial⁽⁵⁵⁾.

Multi-site studies of MR imaging outcomes should be done at 1.5T, with a T2-weighted, or fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) protocol, to assess signal changes due to vascular or other causes, and a 3D T1-sequence to assess atrophy. To reduce dropout and improve compliance, acquisition time should be minimized- total scanner time should be less than 20 minutes, with no single sequence more than 10 minutes. Quantitative measures are necessary and require high standards of quality control during image acquisition, including assessments of geometric fidelity, contrast, and lack of homogeneity.

^[4] *Clinical Trials Subcommittee Members:* Philip Scheltens and Nick Fox (*Co-chairs*), Clifford Jack, Hilka Soininen, Eric Reiman, Richard Frackowiak, Lars-Olof Wahlund, Ron Petersen, Charles DeCarli, Leon Thal, Michael W. Weiner (*Ex-Officio*) and Zaven Khachaturian (*Secretariat*).

Analyses should be standardized and include a measure of global loss and a measure of medial temporal lobe volume. A multi-site, longitudinal structural MRI "observational, naturalistic" study of brain atrophy rates in AD, MCI, and control subjects would facilitate the establishment of standards for acquisition and image processing, and provide information useful for the design of treatment studies. Recently the NIH announced an RFP for a Neuroimaging Initiative to perform such a study. Studies at higher fields and using magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), perfusion, diffusion, amyloid imaging, and other advanced techniques should be encouraged for future applications.

A. Rationale for Use of MRI and CT in Clinical Trials

The main potential roles of MRI in trials are to: 1) define the study population (provide exclusion and inclusion criteria and sample stratification), and 2) measure outcome (provide surrogate markers of progression using serial MRI). Each of these functions requires consideration of optimal acquisition and analysis for the particular subject group studied.

B. Defining Study Populations in MCI and AD

Due to the lack of specificity of clinical criteria, and the heterogeneity of AD and MCI^(1, 42, 56-64), MRI can assist in defining and homogenizing study populations by adding MRI exclusion and inclusion criteria to existing clinical criteria. Excluding patients with significant small vessel cerebrovascular disease as well as large vessel strokes will narrow, but also homogenize, the study population. Including patients with a significant degree of medial temporal atrophy (MTA) will increase the proportion of subjects that will progress from MCI to fulfill a diagnosis of AD^(9, 11, 12, 31, 45, 65-67). The challenge of MCI is to determine which patients will progress to fulfill criteria for AD, since some have fixed deficits, others will develop vascular dementia or frontotemporal dementia, and a few will turn out to be "worried well."

MRI is a feasible addition to clinical trials because it is widely available and inexpensive relative to the total cost of a clinical trial. MRI is noninvasive, and even with repeated imaging no adverse effects are known, as long as care is taken to exclude subjects with pacemakers or certain ferrous-metallic implants, and appropriate ear protection is provided. Most MRI research studies require patients to have a mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score of >10/30 in order to comply with instructions, and to remain still during the scan. More severely affected subjects, however, may still be scanned if short scan times (<10 minutes) are used. Although sedation (usually with a benzodiazepine) is used in clinical practice for anxious and claustrophobic patients, sedatives are generally inappropriate during a clinical trial.

i. Recommendations for MRI Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The Work Group recommends the use of MRI in all clinical drug trials that seek to establish AD as the pathological substrate for therapy. Imaging should be used to exclude: (1) nondegenerative, nonvascular, pathology such as tumor, subdural hematoma, hydrocephalus etc., (2) cerebrovascular disease as the major pathological substrate for the cognitive problems (i.e., vascular dementia or MCI of the vascular type), and (3) other degenerative dementias presenting with focal or lobar atrophy. Either MRI or CT may be adequate for (1) above; MRI is superior in assessing (2) or (3).

Even if a given therapy is considered non-specific for one sort of pathology and licensing is sought for a non-specific indication such as "dementia," the use of MRI should still be considered since: (1) there is potential value in determining which subgroups show

response to the therapy (assessments of vascular load or MTA may be useful covariates in outcome analyses) and (2) these data may make a contribution to research more generally.

a. Technical Requirements and Specifications

1. For Exclusion Criteria: To exclude/assess vascular and non-degenerative pathologies.

1.1 Acquisition. Scanner field strength should be at least 0.5T (preferably 1.5T) and, ideally, single field strength should be used for all patients in a particular trial to allow comparison between sites and scanners. The field of view should ensure whole brain coverage. T2-weighted or FLAIR techniques should be used, particularly to assess white matter disease, and acquisition time should be tailored to patient tolerance.

1.2 Analysis. We recommend central assessment of all scans, based on visual inspection, to exclude tumor, subdural hematomas, etc. Such inspection can be performed on either hard copy or digital imaging. If the vascular load is to be assessed this should involve either: (a) a visual grading scale, see ⁽⁶⁸⁾, or (b) an automated or semi-automated method for quantifying vascular load should be encouraged; such a method should have been fully validated prior to the study.

2. For Inclusion Criteria: To increase probability of AD pathology. AD is associated with early and disproportionate median temporal lobe atrophy diffuse cerebral atrophy⁽⁶⁹⁻⁷¹⁾. The presence of atrophy of the medial temporal lobe increases the probability of AD in clinically diagnosed AD and MCI patients ^{(11, 31, 45, 65) (9) (12, 35, 67)}, but has less specificity in distinguishing AD from other dementias ⁽⁷²⁻⁷⁹⁾.

2.1 Acquisition. We recommend the use of whole brain coronal 3D T1-weighted imaging to assess lobar and medial temporal lobe atrophy.

2.2 Analysis. We recommend central assessment of MTA: (a) based on visual inspection of hard copy or digital imaging, and/or ^(12, 18, 80) (b) calculated using a region-of-interest based volumetric analysis ^(25, 81).

There is now good evidence that voxel-based, automatic, computerized methods of scan analysis e.g., voxel based morphometry ⁽⁸²⁾, can be effectively used to analyze differential and sequential changes in brain anatomy. These methods have the advantages of using all the available scan data to maximize the sensitivity for detection of change, of being objective in the sense that observer bias is removed, and of providing results that are reproducible on the same data set, after image pre-processing. A formal comparison between observer-based ROI and voxel-based computerized methods in Alzheimer's disease is very promising ⁽⁸³⁾.

C. Progression Markers

i. Current practice

The advent of potential disease-modifying agents in AD has heightened the importance of developing imaging markers of progression. Such markers will not only increase our knowledge of disease and help provide prognostic information to patients, but may also provide cost-effective ways of identifying therapies that slow AD, as opposed to providing only symptomatic benefit. Ideally, a surrogate marker of disease progression should relate directly to the extent of the underlying molecular pathology -- synaptic loss, amyloid load, or abnormal tau deposition. Such measures are being sought but to date are not available *in vivo*. Cerebral atrophy due to neuronal loss is a downstream event, which is nevertheless central to pathological progression. MRI can measure rates of atrophy that can act as *in vivo* markers of structural neuronal degeneration. Atrophy progression can be assessed repeatedly and noninvasively, blind to treatment allocation and to time point within a trial. However, other factors which influence brain hydration, may produce

possible to produce alterations in brain volume unrelated to neurodegeneration⁽⁸⁴⁾. Therefore, these, and other, potential confounding factors must be considered in relation to specific interventions. Currently, there are several large multi-center clinical trials in MCI and AD that are using MRI measures of atrophy as outcome measures. The outcome measures of interest are measures of regional (medial temporal lobe) atrophy and/or whole brain atrophy rates. At time of writing (July 2003), the results of these studies have not yet been reported.

ii. Recommendations

Measures of rates of atrophy based on manual outlining of regions of interest (for example, the hippocampus or entorhinal cortex), and semi-automated whole brain atrophy rates from serial MRI are the first choices as outcome measures. Rates of atrophy of other structures should also be investigated as possible surrogate markers. Alternative manual and automated image analysis techniques are in development. These merit comparison with the forgoing outcome measures in future multi-center studies to determine the most powerful markers of disease progression. A central site for standardized analysis should be used; if multiple independent measures are being chosen, they may be performed at different central sites.

a. Medial Temporal Lobe Atrophy

1. Hippocampus (HC). The HC is the most extensively studied structure in AD; large numbers of cross-sectional and a small number of longitudinal studies⁽⁶⁶⁾ have shown increased rate of atrophy (4 to 6% annually) in patients with AD, relative to controls (1 to 2% annually)^(66, 85). Manual tracing of the HC on digitized images is the best validated and recommended method. Alternatives that require further longitudinal evaluation include: (1) visual assessment using rating scales^(12, 86), (2) stereological measures⁽⁸⁷⁾, and (3) automated deformation-based methods either from standard template^(88, 89) or from a baseline segmentation⁽⁹⁰⁾.

2. Entorhinal Cortex (EC). The EC should, on pathological grounds, be at least as sensitive as the HC as a measure of progression in AD. However, measurement reliability may be lower for the EC than for the hippocampus. It is currently unclear if there is any practical advantage in using EC measures over those from the HC; both measures appear to provide similar power for clinical trials in AD^(33, 91). The role of EC compared with HC to determine atrophy rates in MCI and AD remains to be determined⁽⁹²⁻⁹⁴⁾.

b. Global Atrophy

1. Registration-Based Methods, allows semi-automated measurement of atrophy rates (Brain Boundary Shift Integral), which have shown annualized mean (SD) rates of atrophy in AD of $2.4 \pm 1.1\%$ annually vs. controls $0.5 \pm 0.4\%$ ⁽⁹⁵⁾. These methods can incorporate correction for scanner voxel variability. Further experience is required to assess whether similar results are possible with the greater variability in MR acquisition in multi-site trials. There are some published data on sample sizes⁽⁹⁶⁾ and this method is currently being used in multi-center trials in AD and MCI. In the future, automated registration algorithms might increase the comparability of findings between studies that are analyzed at different sites.

2. Brain Parenchymal Fraction (BPF), has been used in multi-center multiple sclerosis (MS) trials. To date, there is little experience with this technique in dementia^(97, 98).

3. Ventricular CSF Measurements are simple markers of global atrophy, which have been used in longitudinal studies to date⁽⁹⁹⁻¹⁰³⁾.

4. *High-Dimensional Nonlinear Registration Methods*, as novel techniques, have the potential to warp a template or a baseline image onto follow-up images, allowing “compression maps” to provide rates of atrophy in different regions^(88, 90, 104). These methods hold considerable potential to reduce user input, especially with multiple scans per subject, however, more research is needed since there is currently limited validation in AD^(83, 105).

5. *Sample Size Estimates* depend on: the degree of homogeneity of disease severity in subjects, method of data acquisition, method of image analysis, and possible treatment effects. Therefore, sample size requirements cannot be generalized but must be calculated for each trial.

D. Technical Requirements and Specifications

Field strength of 1.5T is adequate, and embraces the combined attributes of wide use and availability. Although higher field strengths (3T and above) may improve contrast and resolution for a given acquisition time, the lack of widespread availability of such scanners currently makes them unsuitable for large Phase III studies. There may be advantages in their use in Phase II studies, although experience is limited at present. For atrophy measurements in AD and MCI, we recommend the use of a volumetric T1-weighted imaging (spoiled gradient-echo ‘SPGR’ and magnetization pre-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo ‘MPRAGE’) or inversion recovery sequence, with voxel dimensions (ideally isotropic) of 1.5mm or less. Rigorous comparison of these two types of sequences is lacking.

Multi-sequence acquisition for segmentation purposes is an area of research that should be encouraged, as should comparisons between these different techniques in dementia studies.

E. Manufacturer and Other Consistency Considerations

While the use of a single MRI manufacturer in a multi-center study may simplify acquisition and quality control, and thereby improve consistency, this is an unrealistic strategy for large Phase III studies. The opportunity exists for MRI manufacturers to establish their commitment to providing consistent MRI acquisition, specifically for multi-center quantitative studies, and there is a need to improve the standards of quality control for quantitative as opposed to clinical work. This Work Group would encourage pursuit of these interests. We recommend that setting standards for consistent acquisition and good quality assurance should be the responsibility of, and managed by, an independent image-analysis coordinating center.

F. Role of Structural MRI as an Outcome Measure in Clinical Trials

According to accelerated approval regulations for serious or life-threatening illnesses, including AD, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) “...may grant marketing approval for a new drug product on the basis of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials establishing that the drug product has its effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely... to predict clinical benefit.” This approval is “...subject to the requirement that the applicant study the drug further, to verify and describe its clinical benefit, where there is uncertainty as to the relation of the surrogate end-point to the clinical benefit.” Thus, the sponsor may be required to “conduct appropriate post-marketing studies to validate the surrogate endpoint.” (Division of Neuropharmacological Drug Products, “Background Document for the Joint Advisory Committee Meeting of November 18, 2002: Issues Related to the Role of Brain Imaging as an Outcome Measure in Phase III Trials of Putative Drugs for Alzheimer’s Disease”). While it is recognized

that the threshold to satisfy this “reasonably likely” criterion is subjective and may depend in part on the comparison of benefits and risks involved for the individual drug, structural MRI is reasonably likely to provide information about the disease-modifying effects of putative treatments. MRI studies of interventions that are eventually established as disease-modifying treatments are needed to help validate this surrogate marker for the discovery of putative AD treatments and preventions. For these reasons, the use of MRI is encouraged as an ancillary outcome measure in Phase III clinical trials of putative AD treatments.

IV. THE ROLE OF PET IN CLINICAL ASSESSMENT AND CLINICAL TRIALS IN AD AND MCI ^[5]

Abstract and Recommendations:

Positron emission tomography (PET) is an imaging technique that provides information about physiological and biochemical processes¹⁸.

F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) is the most extensively used PET tracer in the study of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Patients with AD have characteristic reductions in FDG PET measurements of regional brain activity, which are progressive and correlate with dementia severity. Other PET tracers have been used in the study of AD, and tracers were recently developed for the putative *in vivo* assessment of AD histopathology. In this regard, the following recommendations are offered:

- A growing clinical literature indicates that patterns of FDG PET hypometabolism may be characteristic of specific degenerative diseases and therefore helpful in the differential diagnosis of dementia. Current evidence thus suggests that FDG PET may be considered as part of the evaluation of patients with dementia when symptoms are unusual, present diagnostic difficulties, or reflect diagnostic uncertainties between AD and frontotemporal dementia. FDG PET in direct comparison with clinical diagnosis, and in addition to a high-quality evaluation including MRI, has not been thoroughly evaluated and deserves further study.
- Considerable evidence also suggests that FDG PET may reveal metabolic abnormalities very early in the course of degenerative dementias, which portend the likelihood of progressive clinical decline. Therefore, PET may be of clinical utility in the evaluation of patients presenting with mild symptoms of memory loss and cognitive dysfunction by establishing a likely, though nonspecific, neurodegenerative basis for the symptoms. FDG PET has not been fully studied in this situation in comparison with other modalities, including clinical, cognitive, and MRI evaluations, and deserves further study.
- Clinical PET studies should be performed at rest with minimal ambient stimulation. Individuals specifically trained to interpret PET FDG images in patients with dementia should analyze images.
- FDG PET provides a promising marker of disease progression. It may have greater statistical power in the assessment of putative treatments than traditional outcome measures. Thus FDG PET may be useful as an ancillary outcome measure in clinical trials of putative AD treatments, and further research in this area is encouraged.
- Additional studies are recommended to evaluate novel radiotracer techniques for imaging amyloid and other histopathological features of AD in the living human brain and in relevant animal models.

^[5] *PET in Clinical Assessment Subcommittee Members:* Gary Small (Chair), William Jagust, Norman L. Foster, Eric Reinman, Mony de Leon, Michael Weiner (*Ex-Officio*), and Zaven Khachaturian (*Secretariat*).

- Research PET studies should use standardized protocols that specify acquisition, image analysis, and quality control procedures, which may be different from the techniques required for clinical studies.

A. Rationale for Use of PET

Patients with probable and definite AD have reductions in posterior cingulate, parietal, temporal, prefrontal, and whole brain measurements of the cerebral metabolic rate for glucose (CMRgl), which are progressive and correlated with dementia severity. These findings predict both subsequent clinical decline and the histopathological diagnosis, and are apparent prior to the onset of AD, and in persons at risk for AD. The CMRgl reductions may reflect a reduction in density or activity of terminal neuronal fields or peri-synaptic glial cells, a metabolic abnormality, or a combination of these factors, and do not appear to be solely attributable to the combined effects of atrophy or partial volume averaging⁽¹⁰⁶⁾. Other PET tracers, notably oxygen-15⁽¹⁰⁷⁾, also have been used in the study of AD, including tracers that have been recently developed for the putative assessment of AD histopathology in the living human brain. The PET subcommittee sought to answer the following questions:

- What methodological and technical considerations must be addressed to further develop PET for multi-center studies?
 - Can PET increase accuracy in the differential diagnosis of dementia, particularly in its earliest clinical stages?
 - Can PET aid in determining the prognosis of individuals at high risk for dementia?
 - How can PET enhance the discovery of treatments and prevention therapies for memory and dementing disorders?

B. Technical Considerations for a Multisite PET Research Study

Data acquisition and image analysis methods must be considered carefully when designing experiments and proposing clinical applications. PET studies should control behavioral activity, task performance, ambient room conditions, whether eyes are open or closed, and monitor use of concurrent medication. Attempts have been made to improve the ability to distinguish groups of AD patients from normal controls by conducting PET studies during standardized behavioral tasks (for example, visual, visuospatial attention, and memory tasks). However, studies performed during mental rest currently have the best established value, and are less likely to be confounded by effects of differential task performance, as well as motivation, attention, and effects of medications.

A longitudinal decline in whole brain metabolism has been found in studies of patients with probable Alzheimer's disease^(108, 109). This decline was not observed in a study of normal aging, and it is not yet clear whether this decline will be observed in patients with mild cognitive impairment. In order to generate quantitative images of CMRgl, an FDG input function should be calculated using repeated blood samples from the radial artery, use of "arterialized venous" samples, or dynamic PET scans (image-derived input carotid artery input function)⁽¹¹⁰⁾. In order to maximize subject tolerance, minimize subject attrition, and investigate declines in normalized regional PET measurements in multi-center studies, the subcommittee recommends further evaluation of these various methods of the performance of PET studies in the absence of arterial or arterialized venous measurements. Approaches to data display include:

1. Generation of statistical brain maps, which appear to improve the ability to characterize abnormalities, compare measurements from different subjects, and compare

findings from different studies; of course, these do not necessarily need an input function, but in that case do not quantify glucose metabolism.

2. Use of region of interest⁽¹¹¹⁾ sampling, which requires a co-registered structural image for the guidance of sample placement.

3. Statistical parametric mapping (SPM) approaches, which, unlike ROI approaches, may not be able to study accurately small regions such as the hippocampus in atrophic brains.

One study⁽¹¹²⁾ used hippocampal volumes of interest to study co-registered MRI and FDG PET images (and atrophy-corrected PET data) of normal, MCI, and AD groups, showing that the two modalities had equivalent classification value. In a longitudinal study of normal elderly that declined to MCI⁽¹⁵⁾ only an entorhinal cortex volume of interest predicted which elderly would deteriorate to MCI. Further, at follow up, the MCI group demonstrated hippocampal metabolic reductions relative to the non-declining normal group. This and other studies^{(106) (112, 113)} indicate that the neocortical deficits observed in AD reflect true metabolic reductions and not just the result of atrophy.

Simple instructions appear to improve inter-rater reliability in the visual interpretation of PET images⁽¹¹⁴⁾. Several algorithms have been used to generate statistical brain maps in the study of dementia, including (but not limited to) statistical parametric mapping (SPM)^(115, 116), stereotactic surface projection (SSP, also known as Neurostat)^(117, 118), and principal component analysis (PCA)⁽¹¹⁹⁾. In clinical studies, the reliability of image interpretation needs to be further studied⁽¹²⁰⁾.

C. Increasing the Specificity of Dementia Diagnosis

PET consistently shows reduced glucose metabolism in AD in the posterior cingulate gyrus, the parietal and temporal association cortices, and in later stages of the disease spreading into the prefrontal cortex and other brain regions⁽¹²¹⁾. The extent of hypometabolism correlates with severity of cognitive impairment⁽¹²²⁾ and often shows right/left hemispheric asymmetry^(123, 124).

In a multi-center study of patients undergoing evaluation for dementia symptoms⁽¹¹⁴⁾, visually interpreted FDG PET images predicted progressive dementia with a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 76%. FDG PET predicted the histopathological diagnosis of AD or any neurodegenerative disease with a sensitivity of 94% and specificities of 73% and 78%, respectively, comparing favorably with sensitivities of 83 to 85% and specificities of 50 to 55% with a Class I study of standard clinical assessment of early dementia without PET⁽¹²⁵⁾. However, this large multi-center study has several limitations, including a relatively short average follow-up period of 3 years, questions about the extent to which these patients referred from clinical research centers reflect the typical medical setting referral, the retrospective nature of the analysis, and the lack of an attempt to compare the accuracy of visual inspection with that of either a brain mapping algorithm or ROI approach.

Research is needed to further substantiate the role of PET in distinguishing among dementing disorders, and to characterize the full range of metabolic findings. Multi-center trials of PET should study consecutive patients and consider how PET may contribute further certainty to the standard clinical diagnosis. It will be important to define the determinants of typical patterns of hypometabolism in AD. Some evidence suggests that typical AD patterns are less often seen in older individuals or in those with superimposed extensive cerebrovascular disease^(126, 127). FDG PET is helpful in distinguishing frontotemporal dementia (FTD) from AD. One recent study⁽¹²⁵⁾ found that the agreement between FDG PET and neuropathological diagnosis was between 75% and 90% among six raters, and was better than that found between the clinical examination

and neuropathological diagnosis (75% to 80%). Consensus criteria for FTD consider PET findings supportive evidence for clinical diagnosis of FTD⁽¹²⁸⁾. Other studies are needed to confirm whether PET aids in recognition and predicting prognosis of mixed dementias. PET may be cost-effective in case of suspected dementia^(129, 130). Prospective multi-center trials that compare MRI and PET with postmortem confirmation are needed to establish the reliability, sensitivity, and specificity of distinguishing different causes of dementia and to further demonstrate whether such imaging adds benefit to the accuracy of clinical diagnoses rendered by both dementia specialists and by non-specialists.

D. Improving the Accurate Recognition of Progressive Dementia

PET is a useful biomarker for many causes of dementia, and helps to determine whether an individual with cognitive complaints will suffer further decline^(15, 114). Patients with dementia due to neurological disease almost uniformly have abnormal PET scans, while those with cognitive complaints from other sources often have normal scans^(114, 131, 132). PET scans are abnormal even when symptoms of AD are mild^{(121) (112, 114)}. Thus PET may be useful in differentiating neurological disease from psychiatric and drug-induced causes of behavioral and cognitive dysfunction.

An abnormal PET scan may provide supportive evidence for the presence of a neurodegenerative dementing disease, rather than a reversible psychiatric illness or symptoms due to normal aging. PET should be considered as an option to assist in the recognition of dementia when coexisting illness or baseline abilities and cooperation are uncertain.

E. Assessing the Prognosis of Individuals at Increased Risk for Dementia

PET may provide additional information about risk in individuals who already are at increased risk because they have memory impairment or carry the apolipoprotein E4 allele (APOE-4). Several studies have demonstrated CMRgl reductions in patients who have various forms of MCI as well as the value of these reductions in predicting subsequent cognitive decline^(112, 133). Moreover, one study showed that FDG PET measures predict decline of normal individuals to MCI⁽¹⁵⁾. Further work is needed to determine the predictive value of PET in patients with memory impairments.

The risk of AD is increased in those with one copy of APOE-4, an AD susceptibility gene found in almost 25% of the population, and is even greater when two copies of APOE-4 are inherited⁽¹³⁴⁾. PET has shown that carriers of the APOE-4 allele have metabolic reductions compared with non-carriers⁽¹³⁵⁻¹³⁸⁾. These metabolic changes follow a pattern typical for AD, and show progressive regional metabolic decline indicating that PET might serve as a surrogate marker in clinical trials designed to prevent future cognitive decline^(136, 138). The available data do not support the routine use of PET for assessing asymptomatic individuals at genetic risk.

F. Role of SPECT

Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography (SPECT) is another molecular imaging technique that can provide information similar to that obtained with PET. Because of differences in the physics of single photon emissions as compared with positron emissions, SPECT has lower resolution than PET. In addition, the availability of single photon emitting radionuclides has generally limited the number of SPECT radiotracer ligands that have been developed. Nevertheless, SPECT imaging has received widespread clinical application because of its simplicity, use of long-lived radionuclides, and lack of need for a local cyclotron. For many years, SPECT was much more available than PET, although this trend may be changing as the clinical application of PET to

cancer management and other conditions becomes more widespread. Although the theoretical limitation of SPECT resolution is significant, clinically useful SPECT images of cerebral blood flow still can be produced when multi-detector SPECT cameras are employed.

Many studies have evaluated SPECT perfusion imaging in the diagnosis of dementia. These studies are often difficult to compare with one another and with PET studies, since methods for data analysis differ substantially, and because SPECT utilizes measures of perfusion, while most PET studies involve measures of cerebral metabolism. The majority of SPECT studies find that the pattern of hypoperfusion in temporal and parietal cortex have reasonable sensitivity and specificity for AD. Autopsy studies have indicated sensitivity and specificity in the range of .7 to .8 for the diagnosis of AD in comparison with control subjects or other dementias^{(139), (140)}. One study that employed the clinically useful approach of investigating the added value of a SPECT scan in addition to the clinical evaluation found that when a diagnosis of probable AD was made clinically, a positive SPECT scan increased the likelihood of autopsy confirmed AD from 84% to 92%⁽¹⁴¹⁾. SPECT has also detected abnormalities in those who are presymptomatic for AD, similar to those described with FDG-PET. Baseline SPECT scans differ in individuals with questionable AD⁽¹⁴²⁾ or MCI⁽¹⁴³⁾ who subsequently convert to dementia in comparison with normal controls. These SPECT perfusion differences occur in brain regions associated with early AD such as the cingulate cortex. Like FDG-PET, SPECT measures of cerebral blood flow provide independent complementary information to structural imaging studies⁽¹⁴⁴⁾.

In general, therefore, the results of SPECT studies are similar to those obtained with PET. The few studies that have directly compared SPECT with PET in AD find the performance of PET superior to SPECT in both detecting abnormalities and in differentiating AD patients from controls, presumably because of the greater resolution and sensitivity of the technique^{(145), (146)}. The inherent superiority of PET probably makes this technique preferable, although SPECT can provide clinically useful information that may be comparable.

G. Assisting in the Discovery of New Treatments and Preventions

PET is a promising surrogate marker in the assessment of a putative AD treatment's ability to improve clinical outcome and modify disease progression. FDG PET may provide evidence of disease progression, and it provides complementary information to the best-established structural brain imaging measurements of disease progression (i.e., MRI measurements of hippocampal, entorhinal cortex, and whole brain volume). A longitudinal study comparing MRI and PET modalities is in order. PET may have greater statistical power in the assessment of putative treatments than traditional outcome measures⁽¹⁰⁹⁾.

FDG PET is reasonably likely to provide information about the disease-modifying effects of putative treatments, particularly when used in conjunction with volumetric MRI and when the findings are supported by studies that include a randomized start or withdrawal design. FDG PET studies of eventually established disease-modifying treatments are needed to help validate this surrogate marker for the discovery of putative AD treatments and prevention therapies. For these reasons, the use of FDG PET is encouraged as an ancillary outcome measure in Phase III clinical trials of putative AD treatments. Reductions in regional CMRgl are progressive in patients with probable AD^(108, 109). They are correlated with dementia severity in both their magnitude and spatial extent⁽¹²¹⁾, and they are also correlated with the severity of the symptoms caused by damage in that brain region^(147, 148). These reductions could reflect a decrease in the activity or density of

terminal neuronal fields or peri-synaptic glial cells, an abnormality in glucose metabolism itself, the combined effects of atrophy and partial-volume averaging, or a combination of these factors; they do not appear to be solely attributable to the effects of atrophy⁽¹¹³⁾. In a one-year follow-up study, mildly to moderately affected patients with probable AD had an annual rate decline in regional and whole brain glucose metabolism between 4% and 11%, with no normalization for the variation in absolute measurements⁽¹⁰⁹⁾. Based on this decline, PET was estimated to have almost 10 times the power of dementia rating scales for detecting a treatment effect in a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of patients with probable AD, and about the same as that reported using MRI measurements of whole brain atrophy⁽⁹⁶⁾. Multi-site trials using SPECT and PET have been effectively utilized in clinical trials in Parkinson's disease^(149, 150), demonstrating the ability to perform multi-site trials of this type.

Although any surrogate end point can be misleading in clinical trials⁽¹⁵¹⁾, the accumulation of evidence argues for the utility of PET as a surrogate marker in clinical trials of age-related memory loss and dementia. Use of more than one marker (for example, PET CMRgl measurements, PET measurements of amyloid binding, and MRI measurements of hippocampal and whole brain volume) may provide converging evidence that is stronger than any individual measure. If validated as a predictor of clinical outcome, PET could reduce the number of patients needed to evaluate drug effectiveness.

H. Additional Research Opportunities

There are many other potential applications for PET that utilize the diverse radioligands developed to measure neurotransmitter activity and neuro-receptor distribution. Particularly exciting are recent developments in small molecule probes for the putative *in vivo* assessment of neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and neuritic plaques (NPs) *in vivo*^(152, 153). Several groups have been developing PET probes of nicotinic and muscarinic receptors^(154, 155), but availability and previous experience with these approaches are limited.

Recent work using auto-radiography suggests that it may also be possible to assess drug effects on transgenic animals using micro-PET before extending observations to humans⁽¹⁵⁶⁾, if abnormalities in regional CMRgl can be detected despite limitations in the spatial resolution of this imaging technique⁽¹⁵⁷⁾. Additional studies are recommended to extend FDG and histopathological PET methods to the study of transgenic mice and other relevant animal models in order to refine screening of candidate treatments.

Reference List

1. Knopman DS, DeKosky ST, Cummings JL, Chui H, Corey-Bloom J, Relkin N, et al. Practice parameter: diagnosis of dementia (an evidence-based review). Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2001;56(9):1143-1153.
2. Chui HC, Victoroff JI, Margolin D, Jagust W, Shankle R, Katzman R. Criteria for the diagnosis of ischemic vascular dementia proposed by the State of California Alzheimer's Disease Diagnostic and Treatment Centers [see comments]. *Neurology* 1992;42:473-480.

3. Jack CR, Jr., Dickson DW, Parisi JE, Xu YC, Cha RH, O'Brien PC, et al. Antemortem MRI findings correlate with hippocampal neuropathology in typical aging and dementia. *Neurology* 2002;58(5):750-757.
4. Rosen HJ, Gorno-Tempini ML, Goldman WP, Perry RJ, Schuff N, Weiner M, et al. Patterns of brain atrophy in frontotemporal dementia and semantic dementia. *Neurology* 2002;58(2):198-208.
5. Chan D, Fox NC, Scahill RI, Crum WR, Whitwell JL, Leschziner G, et al. Patterns of temporal lobe atrophy in semantic dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 2001;49(4):433-442.
6. Rosen HJ, Kramer JH, Gorno-Tempini ML, Schuff N, Weiner M, Miller BL. Patterns of cerebral atrophy in primary progressive aphasia. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2002;10(1):89-97.
7. Boccardi M, Laakso MP, Bresciani L, Galluzzi S, Geroldi C, Beltramello A, et al. The MRI pattern of frontal and temporal brain atrophy in fronto-temporal dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003;24(1):95-103.
8. Roman GC, Tatemichi TK, Erkinjuntti T, Cummings JL, Masdeu JC, Garcia JH, et al. Vascular dementia: diagnostic criteria for research studies. Report of the NINDS-AIREN International Workshop. *Neurology* 1993;43(2):250-260.
9. Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Xu YC, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, et al. Prediction of AD with MRI-based hippocampal volume in mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology* 1999;52(7):1397-1403.
10. Killiany RJ, Gomez-Isla T, Moss M, Kikinis R, Sandor T, Jolesz F, et al. Use of Structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging to Predict Who will get Alzheimer's Disease. *Annals of Neurology* 2000;47(4):430-439.
11. Visser PJ, Verhey FR, Hofman PA, Scheltens P, Jolles J. Medial temporal lobe atrophy predicts Alzheimer's disease in patients with minor cognitive impairment. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;72(4):491-497.
12. de Leon MJ, Golomb J, George AE, Convit A, Tarshish CY, McRae T, et al. The radiologic prediction of Alzheimer disease: the atrophic hippocampal formation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1993;14(4):897-906.
13. Fox NC, Warrington EK, Freeborough PA, Hartikainen P, Kennedy AM, Stevens JM, et al. Presymptomatic hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. A longitudinal MRI study. *Brain* 1996;119 (Pt 6):2001-2007.
14. Kaye JA, Swihart T, Howieson D, Dame A, Moore MM, Karnos T, et al. Volume loss of the hippocampus and temporal lobe in healthy elderly persons destined to develop dementia. *Neurology* 1997;48(5):1297-1304.
15. de Leon MJ, Convit A, Wolf OT, Tarshish CY, DeSanti S, Rusinek H, et al. Prediction of cognitive decline in normal elderly subjects with 2-[(18)F]fluoro-2-deoxy-

D-glucose/positron-emission tomography (FDG/PET). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98(19):10966-10971.

16. Jack CR, Jr., Slomkowski M, Gracon S, Hoover TM, Felmlee JP, Stewart K, et al. MRI as a biomarker of disease progression in a therapeutic trial of milameline for AD. *Neurology* 2003;60(2):253-260.
17. de Leon MJ, George AE, Stylopoulos LA, Smith G, Miller DC. Early marker for Alzheimer's disease: the atrophic hippocampus. *Lancet* 1989;2(8664):672-673.
18. Scheltens P, Leys D, Barkhof F, Huglo D, Weinstein HC, Vermersch P, et al. Atrophy of medial temporal lobes on MRI in "probable" Alzheimer's disease and normal ageing: diagnostic value and neuropsychological correlates. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55(10):967-972.
19. George AE, de Leon MJ, Stylopoulos LA, Miller J, Kluger A, Smith G, et al. CT diagnostic features of Alzheimer disease: importance of the choroidal/hippocampal fissure complex. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1990;11(1):101-107.
20. Narkiewicz O, de Leon MJ, Convit A, George AE, Wegiel J, Morys J, et al. Dilatation of the lateral part of the transverse fissure of the brain in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Warsz)* 1993;53(3):457-465.
21. Arnold SE, Hyman BT, Flory J, Damasio AR, Van Hoesen GW. The topographical and neuroanatomical distribution of neurofibrillary tangles and neuritic plaques in the cerebral cortex of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Cereb Cortex* 1991;1(1):103-116.
22. Hof PR, Bierer LM, Perl DP, Delacourte A, Buee L, Bouras C, et al. Evidence for early vulnerability of the medial and inferior aspects of the temporal lobe in an 82-year-old patient with preclinical signs of dementia. Regional and laminar distribution of neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques. *Arch Neurol* 1992;49(9):946-953.
23. Braak H, Braak E. Evolution of the neuropathology of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* 1996;165:3-12.
24. DeCarli C, Murphy DG, McIntosh AR, Teichberg D, Schapiro MB, Horwitz B. Discriminant analysis of MRI measures as a method to determine the presence of dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Psychiatry Res* 1995;57(2):119-130.
25. Jack CRJ, Petersen RC, O'Brien PC, Tangalos EG. MR-based hippocampal volumetry in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1992;42:183-188.
26. Kesslak JP, Nalcioglu O, Cotman CW. Quantification of magnetic resonance scans for hippocampal and parahippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease [see comments]. *Neurology* 1991;41:51-54.
27. Killiany RJ, Moss MB, Albert MS, Sandor T, Tieman J, Jolesz F. Temporal lobe regions on magnetic resonance imaging identify patients with early Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Neurol* 1993;50(9):949-954.

28. Seab JP, Jagust WJ, Wong ST, Roos MS, Reed BR, Budinger TF. Quantitative NMR measurements of hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 1988;8:200-208.
29. Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Xu YC, Waring SC, O'Brien PC, Tangalos EG, et al. Medial temporal atrophy on MRI in normal aging and very mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1997;49(3):786-794.
30. Convit A, de Leon MJ, Golomb J, George AE, Tarshish CY, Bobinski M, et al. Hippocampal atrophy in early Alzheimer's disease: anatomic specificity and validation. *Psychiatr Q* 1993;64(4):371-387.
31. Convit A, De Leon MJ, Tarshish C, De Santi S, Tsui W, Rusinek H, et al. Specific hippocampal volume reductions in individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 1997;18(2):131-138.
32. De Leon MJ, George AE, Golomb J, Tarshish C, Convit A, Kluger A, et al. Frequency of hippocampal formation atrophy in normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 1997;18(1):1-11.
33. Du AT, Schuff N, Amend D, Laakso MP, Hsu YY, Jagust WJ, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the entorhinal cortex and hippocampus in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;71(4):441-447.
34. Petersen RC, Jack CR, Jr., Xu YC, Waring SC, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, et al. Memory and MRI-based hippocampal volumes in aging and AD. *Neurology* 2000;54(3):581-587.
35. Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Xu Y, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, et al. Rates of Hippocampus Atrophy Correlate with Change Status in Aging and AD. *Neurology* 2000;55(4):484-489.
36. Fox NC, Warrington EK, Rossor MN. Serial magnetic resonance imaging of cerebral atrophy in preclinical Alzheimer's disease [letter]. *Lancet* 1999;353(9170):2125.
37. Fox NC, Freeborough PA, Rossor MN. Visualisation and quantification of rates of atrophy in Alzheimer's disease [see comments]. *Lancet* 1996;348(9020):94-97.
38. Fox NC, Freeborough PA. Brain atrophy progression measured from registered serial MRI: validation and application to Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 1997;7(6):1069-1075.
39. Freeborough PA, Fox NC. The boundary shift integral: an accurate and robust measure of cerebral volume changes from registered repeat MRI. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 1997;16(5):623-629.
40. Tanabe JL, Amend D, Schuff N, DiSclafani V, Ezekiel F, Norman D, et al. Tissue segmentation of the brain in Alzheimer disease. *Ajnr. American Journal of Neuroradiology* 1997;18(1):115-123.

41. Fox NC, Scahill RI, Crum WR, Rossor MN. Correlation between rates of brain atrophy and cognitive decline in AD [see comments]. *Neurology* 1999;52(8):1687-1689.
42. Morris JC, Storandt M, Miller JP, McKeel DW, Price JL, Rubin EH, et al. Mild cognitive impairment represents early-stage Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58(3):397-405.
43. Price JL, Morris JC. Tangles and plaques in nondemented aging and "preclinical" Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1999;45(3):358-368.
44. Bobinski M, de Leon MJ, Wegiel J, Desanti S, Convit A, Saint Louis LA, et al. The histological validation of post mortem magnetic resonance imaging-determined hippocampal volume in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* 2000;95(3):721-725.
45. Visser PJ, Scheltens P, Verhey FR, Schmand B, Launer LJ, Jolles J, et al. Medial temporal lobe atrophy and memory dysfunction as predictors for dementia in subjects with mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of Neurology* 1999;246(6):477-485.
46. Fox NC, Warrington EK, Freeborough PA, Hartikainen P, Kennedy AM, Stevens JM, et al. Presymptomatic hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. A longitudinal MRI study. *Brain* 1996;119 (Pt 6):2001-2007.
47. Fox NC, Warrington EK, Stevens JM, Rossor MN. Atrophy of the hippocampal formation in early familial Alzheimer's disease. A longitudinal MRI study of at-risk members of a family with an amyloid precursor protein 717Val-Gly mutation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996;777:226-232.
48. Ritchie K, Artero S, Touchon J. Classification criteria for mild cognitive impairment: a population-based validation study. *Neurology* 2001;56(1):37-42.
49. Scheltens P, Pasquier F, Weerts JG, Barkhof F, Leys D. Qualitative assessment of cerebral atrophy on MRI: inter- and intra-observer reproducibility in dementia and normal aging. *Eur Neurol* 1997;37(2):95-99.
50. Launer LJ, Scheltens P, Lindeboom J, Barkhof F, Weinstein HC, Jonker C. Medial temporal lobe atrophy in an open population of very old persons: cognitive, brain atrophy, and sociomedical correlates. *Neurology* 1995;45(4):747-752.
51. DeCarli C, Kaye JA, Horwitz B, Rapoport SI. Critical analysis of the use of computer-assisted transverse axial tomography to study human brain in aging and dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Neurology* 1990;40(6):872-883.
52. Jobst KA, Smith AD, Szatmari M, Molyneux A, Esiri ME, King E, et al. Detection in life of confirmed Alzheimer's disease using a simple measurement of medial temporal lobe atrophy by computed tomography. *Lancet* 1992;340(8829):1179-1183.
53. Jobst KA, Smith AD, Szatmari M, Esiri MM, Jaskowski A, Hindley N, et al. Rapidly progressing atrophy of medial temporal lobe in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1994;343(8901):829-830.

54. Frisoni GB, Geroldi C, Beltramello A, Bianchetti A, Binetti G, Bordiga G, et al. Radial width of the temporal horn: a sensitive measure in Alzheimer disease. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2002;23(1):35-47.
55. Bosscher L, Scheltens P. MRI of the temporal lobe for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease. In: *Evidence based Dementia Practice*: Oxford; 2002. p. 154-162.
56. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984;34:939-944.
57. unknown. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4th Edition ed. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
58. Chui H, Young L. Clinical criteria for various dementia subtypes: Alzheimer's Disease (AD), Vascular dementia (VaD) Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB), and Frontotemporal dementia (FTD). In: *Evidence based Dementia*: Oxford, Blackwell Science, LTD; 2002.
59. Petrovitch H, White LR, Ross GW, Steinhorn SC, Li CY, Masaki KH, et al. Accuracy of clinical criteria for AD in the Honolulu-Asia Aging Study, a population-based study. *Neurology* 2001;57(2):226-234.
60. Varma AR, Snowden JS, Lloyd JJ, Talbot PR, Mann DM, Neary D. Evaluation of the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria in the differentiation of Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;66(2):184-188.
61. Braak H, Braak E. Frequency of stages of Alzheimer-related lesions in different age categories. *Neurobiol Aging* 1997;18(4):351-357.
62. Linn RT, Wolf PA, Bachman DL, Knoefel JE, Cobb JL, Belanger AJ, et al. The 'preclinical phase' of probable Alzheimer's disease. A 13-year prospective study of the Framingham cohort. *Arch Neurol* 1995;52(5):485-490.
63. Bozoki A, Giordani B, Heidebrink JL, Berent S, Foster NL. Mild cognitive impairments predict dementia in nondemented elderly patients with memory loss. *Arch Neurol* 2001;58(3):411-416.
64. Bookheimer SY, Strojwas MH, Cohen MS, Saunders AM, Pericak-Vance MA, Mazziotta JC, et al. Patterns of brain activation in people at risk for Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343(7):450-456.
65. Convit A, de Asis J, de Leon MJ, Tarshish CY, De Santi S, Rusinek H. Atrophy of the medial occipitotemporal, inferior, and middle temporal gyri in non-demented elderly predict decline to Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2000;21(1):19-26.
66. Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Xu Y, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, et al. Rates of hippocampal atrophy correlate with change in clinical status in aging and AD. *Neurology* 2000;55(4):484-489.

67. Dickerson BC, Goncharova I, Sullivan MP, Forchetti C, Wilson RS, Bennett DA, et al. MRI-derived entorhinal and hippocampal atrophy in incipient and very mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2001;22(5):747-754.
68. Scheltens P, Erkinjuntti T, Leys D, Wahlund LO, Inzitari D, del Ser T, et al. White matter changes on CT and MRI: an overview of visual rating scales. European Task Force on Age-Related White Matter Changes. *Eur Neurol* 1998;39(2):80-89.
69. Frisoni GB, Bianchetti A, Trabucchi M. The added value of MRI measures of atrophy in the diagnosis of AD. *Neurology* 1998;51(4):1238.
70. Frisoni GB, Bianchetti A, Trabucchi M, Beltramello A. The added value of neuroimaging for diagnosing dementia. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1999;20(5):947-949.
71. Frisoni GB. Structural imaging in the clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: problems and tools. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2001;70(6):711-718.
72. Laakso MP, Soininen H, Partanen K, Lehtovirta M, Hallikainen M, Hanninen T, et al. MRI of the hippocampus in Alzheimer's disease: sensitivity, specificity, and analysis of the incorrectly classified subjects. *Neurobiol Aging* 1998;19(1):23-31.
73. Laakso MP, Frisoni GB, Kononen M, Mikkonen M, Beltramello A, Geroldi C, et al. Hippocampus and entorhinal cortex in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a morphometric MRI study. *Biological Psychiatry* 2000;47(12):1056-1063.
74. Laakso MP, Lehtovirta M, Partanen K, Riekkinen PJ, Soininen H. Hippocampus in Alzheimer's disease: a 3-year follow-up MRI study. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000;47(6):557-561.
75. Barber R, Gholkar A, Scheltens P, Ballard C, McKeith IG, O'Brien JT. Medial temporal lobe atrophy on MRI in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology* 1999;52(6):1153-1158.
76. Barber R, Gholkar A, Scheltens P, Ballard C, McKeith IG, O'Brien JT. MRI volumetric correlates of white matter lesions in dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2000;15(10):911-916.
77. Barber R, Ballard C, McKeith IG, Gholkar A, O'Brien JT. MRI volumetric study of dementia with Lewy bodies: a comparison with AD and vascular dementia. *Neurology* 2000;54(6):1304-1309.
78. Barber R, Panikkar A, McKeith IG. Dementia with Lewy bodies: diagnosis and management. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2001;16 Suppl 1:S12-18.
79. Barber R, McKeith IG, Ballard C, Gholkar A, O'Brien JT. A comparison of medial and lateral temporal lobe atrophy in dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's disease: magnetic resonance imaging volumetric study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2001;12(3):198-205.

80. Erkinjuntti T, Lee DH, Gao F, Steenhuis R, Eliasziw M, Fry R, et al. Temporal lobe atrophy on magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of early Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Neurol* 1993;50(3):305-310.
81. Laakso MP, Soininen H, Partanen K, Helkala EL, Hartikainen P, Vainio P, et al. Volumes of hippocampus, amygdala and frontal lobes in the mri-based diagnosis of early alzheimers disease - correlation with memory functions. *J Neur.Tr.-P.* 1995;V9:73-86.
82. Good CD, Johnsrude IS, Ashburner J, Henson RN, Friston KJ, Frackowiak RS. A voxel-based morphometric study of ageing in 465 normal adult human brains. *Neuroimage* 2001;14(1 Pt 1):21-36.
83. Good CD, Scahill RI, Fox NC, Ashburner J, Friston KJ, Chan D, et al. Automatic differentiation of anatomical patterns in the human brain: validation with studies of degenerative dementias. *Neuroimage* 2002;17(1):29-46.
84. Walters RJ, Fox NC, Crum WR, Taube D, Thomas DJ. Haemodialysis and cerebral oedema. *Nephron* 2001;87(2):143-147.
85. Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Xu Y, O'Brien PC, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, et al. Rate of medial temporal lobe atrophy in typical aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1998;51(4):993-999.
86. Scheltens P, Fox N, Barkhof F, De Carli C. Structural magnetic resonance imaging in the practical assessment of dementia: beyond exclusion. *Lancet Neurol* 2002;1(1):13-21.
87. Wahlund LO, Julin P, Lindqvist J, Scheltens P. Visual assessment of medical temporal lobe atrophy in demented and healthy control subjects: correlation with volumetry. *Psychiatry Res* 1999;90(3):193-199.
88. Haller JW, Banerjee A, Christensen GE, Gado M, Joshi S, Miller MI, et al. Three-dimensional hippocampal MR morphometry with high-dimensional transformation of a neuroanatomic atlas. *Radiology* 1997;202(2):504-510.
89. Hsu YY, Schuff N, Du AT, Zhu X, Hardin D, Weiner MW. Automated MRI Voluming of Hippocampus in Alzheimer Disease. In: *ISMRM; 2001; Glasgow; 2001.* p. 1378.
90. Crum WR, Scahill RI, Fox NC. Automated hippocampal segmentation by regional fluid registration of serial MRI: validation and application in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage* 2001;13(5):847-855.
91. Du AT, Schuff N, Zhu XP, Jagust WJ, Miller BL, Reed BR, et al. Atrophy rates of entorhinal cortex in AD and normal aging. *Neurology* 2003;60(3):481-486.
92. Bobinski M, de Leon MJ, Convit A, De Santi S, Wegiel J, Tarshish CY, et al. MRI of entorhinal cortex in mild Alzheimer's disease [letter]. *Lancet* 1999;353(9146):38-40.

93. Killiany RJ, Hyman BT, Gomez-Isla T, Moss MB, Kikinis R, Jolesz F, et al. MRI measures of entorhinal cortex vs hippocampus in preclinical AD. *Neurology* 2002;58(8):1188-1196.
94. Du A, Schuff N, Kramer J, Ganzer S, Miller B, Jagust W, et al. Higher Atrophy Rate of Entorhinal Cortex than Hippocampus in AD. *Neurology* 2003 (In Press).
95. Chan D, Fox NC, Jenkins R, Scahill RI, Crum WR, Rossor MN. Rates of global and regional cerebral atrophy in AD and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology* 2001;57(10):1756-1763.
96. Fox NC, Cousens S, Scahill R, Harvey RJ, Rossor MN. Using serial registered brain magnetic resonance imaging to measure disease progression in Alzheimer disease: power calculations and estimates of sample size to detect treatment effects [see comments]. *Archives of Neurology* 2000;57(3):339-344.
97. Simon JH, Jacobs LD, Campion MK, Rudick RA, Cookfair DL, Herndon RM, et al. A longitudinal study of brain atrophy in relapsing multiple sclerosis. The Multiple Sclerosis Collaborative Research Group (MSCRG). *Neurology* 1999;53(1):139-148.
98. Rudick RA, Fisher E, Lee JC, Simon J, Jacobs L. Use of the brain parenchymal fraction to measure whole brain atrophy in relapsing-remitting MS. Multiple Sclerosis Collaborative Research Group. *Neurology* 1999;53(8):1698-1704.
99. Broderick JP, Narayan S, Gaskill M, Dhawan AP, Khoury J. Volumetric measurement of multifocal brain lesions. Implications for treatment trials of vascular dementia and multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimaging* 1996;6(1):36-43.
100. Luxenberg JS, Haxby JV, Creasey H, Sundaram M, Rapoport SI. Rate of ventricular enlargement in dementia of the Alzheimer type correlates with rate of neuropsychological deterioration. *Neurology* 1987;37:1135-1140.
101. de Leon MJ, George AE, Reisberg B, Ferris SH, Kluger A, Stylopoulos LA, et al. Alzheimer's disease: longitudinal CT studies of ventricular change. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 1989;152(6):1257-1262.
102. Gado M, Hughes CP, Danziger W, Chi D. Aging, dementia, and brain atrophy: a longitudinal computed tomographic study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1983;4(3):699-702.
103. DeCarli C, Haxby JV, Gillette JA, Teichberg D, Rapoport SI, Schapiro MB. Longitudinal changes in lateral ventricular volume in patients with dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Neurology* 1992;42(10):2029-2036.
104. Studholme C, Cardenas V, Maudsley A, Weiner M. An intensity consistent filtering approach to the analysis of deformation tensor derived maps of brain shape. *Neuroimage* In Press.
105. Schenk DB, Seubert P, Lieberburg I, Wallace J. beta-peptide immunization: a possible new treatment for Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2000;57(7):934-936.

106. Yang J, Huang S, Mega M, Lin K, Toga A, Small G, et al. Investigation of partial volume correction methods for brain FDG PET studies. *IEEE Transaction on Nuclear Science* 1996(43):3322-3327.
107. Frackowiak RS, Pozzilli C, Legg NJ, Du BG, Marshall J, Lenzi GL, et al. Regional cerebral oxygen supply and utilization in dementia. A clinical and physiological study with oxygen-15 and positron tomography. *Brain* 1981;104:753-778.
108. Smith GS, de Leon MJ, George AE, Kluger A, Volkow ND, McRae T, et al. Topography of cross-sectional and longitudinal glucose metabolic deficits in Alzheimer's disease. Pathophysiologic implications. *Arch Neurol* 1992;49(11):1142-1150.
109. Alexander GE, Chen K, Pietrini P, Rapoport SI, Reiman EM. Longitudinal PET Evaluation of Cerebral Metabolic Decline in Dementia: A Potential Outcome Measure in Alzheimer's Disease Treatment Studies. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;159(5):738-745.
110. Chen K, Bandy D, Reiman E, Huang SC, Lawson M, Feng D, et al. Noninvasive quantification of the cerebral metabolic rate for glucose using positron emission tomography, 18F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose, the Patlak method, and an image-derived input function. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1998;18(7):716-723.
111. Narici MV, Roi GS, Landoni L, Minetti AE, Cerretelli P. Changes in force, cross-sectional area and neural activation during strength training and detraining of the human quadriceps. *Eur.J.Appl.Physiol.* 1989;59:310-319.
112. De Santi S, de Leon MJ, Rusinek H, Convit A, Tarshish CY, Roche A, et al. Hippocampal formation glucose metabolism and volume losses in MCI and AD. *Neurobiol Aging* 2001;22(4):529-539.
113. Ibanez V, Pietrini P, Alexander GE, Furey ML, Teichberg D, Rajapakse JC, et al. Regional glucose metabolic abnormalities are not the result of atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1998;50(6):1585-1593.
114. Silverman DH, Small GW, Chang CY, Lu CS, Kung De Aburto MA, Chen W, et al. Positron emission tomography in evaluation of dementia: Regional brain metabolism and long-term outcome. *Jama* 2001;286(17):2120-2127.
115. Friston KJ. Commentary and opinion: II. Statistical parametric mapping: ontology and current issues. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1995;15(3):361-370.
116. Friston KJ, Malizia AL, Wilson S, Cunningham VJ, Jones T, Nutt DJ. Analysis of dynamic radioligand displacement or "activation" studies. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1997;17(1):80-93.
117. Minoshima S, Foster NL, Kuhl DE. Posterior cingulate cortex in Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 1994;344(8926):895.
118. Minoshima S, Frey KA, Koeppe RA, Foster NL, Kuhl DE. A diagnostic approach in Alzheimer's disease using three-dimensional stereotactic surface projections of fluorine-18-FDG PET. *J.Nucl.Med.* 1995;36:1238-1248.

119. Strother SC, Kanno I, Rottenberg DA. Commentary and opinion: I. Principal component analysis, variance partitioning, and "functional connectivity". *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1995;15(3):353-360.
120. Hoffman JM, Hanson MW, Welsh KA, Earl N, Paine S, DeLong D, et al. Interpretation variability of 18FDG-positron emission tomography studies in dementia. *Invest Radiol* 1996;31(6):316-322.
121. Minoshima S, Giordani B, Berent S, Frey KA, Foster NL, Kuhl DE. Metabolic reduction in the posterior cingulate cortex in very early Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1997;42(1):85-94.
122. Mazziotta JC, Frackowiak RS, Phelps ME. The use of positron emission tomography in the clinical assessment of dementia. *Semin Nucl Med* 1992;22(4):233-246.
123. Koss E, Friedland RP, Ober BA, Jagust WJ. Differences in lateral hemispheric asymmetries of glucose utilization between early- and late-onset Alzheimer-type dementia. *Am.J.Psychiatry* 1985;142:638-640.
124. Duara R, Grady C, Haxby J, Sundaram M, Cutler NR, Heston L, et al. Positron emission tomography in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1986;36(7):879-887.
125. Foster N, Heidebrink J, Clark C. Inter-rater reliability and diagnostic accuracy of DFG-PET is superior to clinical history and examination in dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* 2002(23):S353.
126. Mielke R, Herholz K, Grond M, Kessler J, Heiss WD. Differences of regional cerebral glucose metabolism between presenile and senile dementia of Alzheimer type. *Neurobiol Aging* 1992;13(1):93-98.
127. DeCarli C, Grady CL, Clark CM, Katz DA, Brady DR, Murphy DG, et al. Comparison of positron emission tomography, cognition, and brain volume in Alzheimer's disease with and without severe abnormalities of white matter. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1996;60(2):158-167.
128. Neary D, Snowden JS, Gustafson L, Passant U, Stuss D, Black S, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 1998;51(6):1546-1554.
129. Small G. Positron emission tomography scanning for the early diagnosis of dementia would improve quality of care for patients and save money. *West J Med* 2000(171):293-294.
130. Silverman DH, Gambhir SS, Huang HW, Schwimmer J, Kim S, Small GW, et al. Evaluating early dementia with and without assessment of regional cerebral metabolism by PET: a comparison of predicted costs and benefits. *J Nucl Med* 2002;43(2):253-266.

131. Hoffman JM, Welsh-Bohmer KA, Hanson M, Crain B, Hulette C, Earl N, et al. FDG PET imaging in patients with pathologically verified dementia. *J Nucl Med* 2000;41(11):1920-1928.
132. Kwan LT, Reed BR, Eberling JL, Schuff N, Tanabe J, Norman D, et al. Effects of subcortical cerebral infarction on cortical glucose metabolism and cognitive function. *Arch.Neurology* 1999;56:809-814.
133. Berent S, Giordani B, Foster N, Minoshima S, Lajiness-O'Neill R, Koeppe R, et al. Neuropsychological function and cerebral glucose utilization in isolated memory impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *J Psychiatr Res* 1999;33(1):7-16.
134. Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, George-Hyslop PH, Pericak-Vance MA, Joo SH, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E allele epsilon 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993;43(8):1467-1472.
135. Small GW, Mazziotta JC, Collins MT, Baxter LR, Phelps ME, Mandelkern MA, et al. Apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and cerebral glucose metabolism in relatives at risk for familial Alzheimer disease. *Jama* 1995;273(12):942-947.
136. Small GW, Ercoli LM, Silverman DH, Huang SC, Komo S, Bookheimer SY, et al. Cerebral metabolic and cognitive decline in persons at genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97(11):6037-6042.
137. Reiman EM, Caselli RJ, Yun LS, Chen K, Bandy D, Minoshima S, et al. Preclinical evidence of Alzheimer's disease in persons homozygous for the epsilon 4 allele for apolipoprotein E [see comments]. *N.Engl.J.Med.* 1996;334(12):752-758.
138. Reiman EM, Caselli RJ, Chen K, Alexander GE, Bandy D, Frost J. Declining brain activity in cognitively normal apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 heterozygotes: A foundation for using positron emission tomography to efficiently test treatments to prevent Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98(6):3334-3339.
139. Bonte FJ, Weiner MF, Bigio EH, White CL, 3rd. Brain blood flow in the dementias: SPECT with histopathologic correlation in 54 patients. *Radiology* 1997;202(3):793-797.
140. Jobst KA, Barnetson LP, Shepstone BJ. Accurate prediction of histologically confirmed Alzheimer's disease and the differential diagnosis of dementia: the use of NINCDS-ADRDA and DSM-III-R criteria, SPECT, X-ray CT, and Apo E4 in medial temporal lobe dementias. Oxford Project to Investigate Memory and Aging. *Int Psychogeriatr* 1998;10(3):271-302.
141. Jagust W, Thisted R, Devous M, Sr, Van Heertum R, Mayberg H, Jobst K, et al. SPECT perfusion imaging in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: a clinical-pathologic study. *Neurology* 2001;56(7):950-956.
142. Johnson KA, Jones K, Holman BL, Becker JA, Spiers PA, Satlin A, et al. Preclinical prediction of Alzheimer's disease using SPECT. *Neurology* 1998;50(6):1563-1571.

143. Kogure D, Matsuda H, Ohnishi T, Asada T, Uno M, Kunihiro T, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of early Alzheimer's disease using brain perfusion SPECT. *J Nucl Med* 2000;41(7):1155-1162.
144. El Fakhri G, Kijewski MF, Johnson KA, Syrkin G, Killiany RJ, Becker JA, et al. MRI-guided SPECT perfusion measures and volumetric MRI in prodromal Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2003;60(8):1066-1072.
145. Messa C, Perani D, Lucignani G, Zenorini A, Zito F, Rizzo G, et al. High-resolution technetium-99m-HMPAO SPECT in patients with probable Alzheimer's disease: comparison with fluorine-18-FDG PET. *J.Nucl.Med.* 1994;35(2):210-216.
146. Herholz K, Schopphoff H, Schmidt M, Mielke R, Eschner W, Scheidhauer K, et al. Direct comparison of spatially normalized PET and SPECT scans in Alzheimer's disease. *J Nucl Med* 2002;43(1):21-26.
147. Foster NL, Chase TN, Mansi L, Brooks R, Fedio P, Patronas NJ, et al. Cortical abnormalities in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol* 1984;16(6):649-654.
148. Haxby JV, Grady CL, Koss E, Horwitz B, Heston L, Schapiro M, et al. Longitudinal study of cerebral metabolic asymmetries and associated neuropsychological patterns in early dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Archives of Neurology* 1990;47:753-760.
149. Whone A, Remy P, Davis M, Sabolek M, Nahmias C, stoessl A, et al. The REAL-PET study: slower progression in early Parkinson's Disease treated with ropinirole compared with L-dopa. *Neurology* 2002;58:Suppl 3.
150. Parkinson SG. Pramipexole vs. levodopa as initial treatment for Parkinson disease: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2000;284:1931-1938.
151. Fleming TR, DeMets DL. Surrogate end points in clinical trials: are we being misled? *Ann Intern Med* 1996;125(7):605-613.
152. Shoghi-Jadid K, Small GW, Agdeppa ED, Kepe V, Ercoli LM, Siddarth P, et al. Localization of neurofibrillary tangles and beta-amyloid plaques in the brains of living patients with Alzheimer disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2002;10(1):24-35.
153. Mathis CA, Bacskai BJ, Kajdasz ST, McLellan ME, Frosch MP, Hyman BT, et al. A lipophilic thioflavin-T derivative for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of amyloid in brain. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2002;12(3):295-298.
154. Kuhl DE, Koeppe RA, Minoshima S, Snyder SE, Ficaró EP, Foster NL, et al. In vivo mapping of cerebral acetylcholinesterase activity in aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1999;52(4):691-699.
155. Nordberg A, Lundqvist H, Hartvig P, Andersson J, Johansson M, Hellstrom-Lindahi E, et al. Imaging of nicotinic and muscarinic receptors in Alzheimer's disease: effect of tacrine treatment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 1997;8(2):78-84.

156. Reiman EM, Uecker A, Gonzalez-Lima F, Minear D, Chen K, Callaway NL, et al. Tracking Alzheimer's disease in transgenic mice using fluorodeoxyglucose autoradiography. *Neuroreport* 2000;11(5):987-991.
157. Valla J, Chen K, Berndt JD, Gonzalez-Lima F, Cherry SR, Games D, et al. Effects of Image Resolution on Autoradiographic Measurements of Posterior Cingulate Activity in PDAPP Mice: Implications for Functional Brain Imaging Studies of Transgenic Mouse Models of Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuroimage* 2002;16(1):1-6.